

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/982,658	NYGREN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carla Myers	1634	

All Participants:

 (1) Carla Myers.

 (2) Richard San Pietro.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 January 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the phrase in claim 1, line 8 of "said optically active substrate" lacks proper antecedent basis. Applicants approved the attached examiner's amendment to delete this phrase and insert therefor: "said optically smooth, flat, light reflecting surface."